

DEFAULT PRICE QUALITY PATH COMPLIANCE STATEMENT FOR THE ASSESSMENT DATE 31 MARCH 2020

Pursuant to the Electricity Distribution Services Default Price-Quality Path Determination 2015

30 June 2020

Contents

- 1) Compliance with the Price Path (Clause 11.2(a))
- 2) Compliance with the Quality Standards (Clause 11.2(a))
- 3) Compliance with the Price and Quality Path (Clause 11.2(d))
- 4) Director Certification (Clause 11.3)
- 5) Auditor's Report (Clause 11.3)

Supporting Information (Clause 11.4 and 11.5)

- APPENDIX A Price Path Compliance Calculations
- APPENDIX B Price and Quantity Schedules
- APPENDIX C Pass Through and Recoverable Costs
- APPENDIX D Calculation of PTB for 2020
- APPENDIX E Quality Standard Compliance Calculations
- APPENDIX F Quality Incentive Calculations
- APPENDIX G Policies and Procedures for Recording SAIDI and SAIFI

1) Compliance with the Price Path (Clause 11.2(a))

Top Energy Limited does comply with the price path in clause 8 at the assessment date 31 March 2020, as specified in the Electricity Distribution Default Price-Quality Path Determination 2015.

Clause 8.3 - The notional revenue (NR) of a Non-exempt EDB at any time during the Assessment Period must not exceed the allowable notional revenue (ANR) for the Assessment Period.

Compliance is demonstrated in the following tables. The first table demonstrates that notional revenue derived using posted prices at the end of the Assessment Period is less than allowable notional revenue. The maximum notional revenue during the Assessment Period does not exceed allowable notional revenue as there was no price change, illustrating that at no time during the Assessment Period is the price path breached.

Commerce Act (Electricity Distribution Default Price-Quality Path) Determination 2015 Commerce Act (Electricity Distribution Default Price-Quality for the Assessment Date 31 March 2020

Clause 8.3

<u>N</u>	<u>R2020</u>	VI	<u>ANR2020</u>
	\$ 000's		
\$	43,445		
\$	47,700		
	0.9108	<=1	
Price Pat	h has not bee	n breached	
	\$ \$ Price Pat	<u>NR2020</u> \$ 000's \$ 43,445 \$ 47,700 0.9108 Price Path has not bee	NR2020 ≤ \$ 000's \$ \$ 43,445 \$ \$ 47,700 0.9108 <= 1

Supporting evidence is presented in Appendices A, B, and C.

2) Compliance with the Quality Standards (Clause 11.2(a))

Top Energy Ltd complied with the requirements of the quality standards in clause 9 at the assessment date, 31 March 2020, as specified in the Electricity Distribution Default Price-Quality Path Determination 2015.

Clause 9.1: A Non-exempt EDB must, in respect of each Assessment Period, either:

(a) Comply with the annual reliability assessment specified in clause 9.2 for that Assessment Period; or

(b) Have complied with the annual reliability assessment in each of the two preceding Assessment Periods.

Clause 9.2: For the purpose of sub-clause 9.1(a), to comply with the annual reliability assessment:

(a) a Non-exempt EDB's SAIDI Assessed Value for the Assessment Period must not exceed the SAIDI Limit specified in Schedule 4A; and

(b) a Non-exempt EDB's SAIFI Assessed Value for the Assessment Period must not exceed the SAIFI Limit specified in Schedule 4A.

Test:	$\frac{SAIDI_{Assess 2020}}{SAIDI_{Limit}} \leq 1$
SAIDI _{Assess} 202 SAIDI _{Limit} Test: Result:	0 365.620 516.675 0.7076 < 1 SAIDI Limit has not been breached
Test:	$\frac{SAIFI_{Assess 2020}}{SAIFI_{Limit}} \leq 1$
SAIFI _{Assess} 2020 SAIFI _{Limit} Test: Result:	0 4.463 6.248 0.7144 < 1 SAIFI Limit has not been breached

Compliance is demonstrated in the following tables: Supporting evidence is presented in Appendices E and F.

3) Compliance with the Price and Quality Path (Clause 11.2(d))

- (i) There were no price structure changes in the year ending 31 March 2018 to year ending 31 March 2020 period.
- (ii) Top Energy have not received a transfer of Transmission Assets from Transpower that become System Fixed Assets or transferred system fixed assets to Transpower in the current or preceding assessment period.
- (iii) Top Energy have not engaged in any Amalgamations or Mergers in the assessment period.
- (iv) Top Energy have not conducted any major transactions in the assessment period.

We, Euan Richard Krogh and David Alexander Sullivan, being directors of Top Energy Limited certify that, having made all reasonable enquiry, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the attached Annual Compliance Statement of Top Energy Limited, and related information, prepared for the purposes of the Electricity Distribution Default Price-Quality Path Determination 2015 are true and accurate.

Jul

Euan Richard Krogh

David Alexander Sullivan

Date: 30 June 2020

Deloitte.

INDEPENDENT ASSURANCE REPORT TO THE DIRECTORS OF TOP ENERGY AND THE COMMERCE COMMISSION

The Auditor-General is the auditor of Top Energy Limited (the company). The Auditor-General has appointed me, Brett Tomkins, using the staff and resources of Deloitte Limited, to provide an opinion, on his behalf, on whether the Annual Compliance Statement for the year ended on 31 March 2020 on pages 2 to 4 and 8 to 24 has been prepared, in all material respects, with the Electricity Distribution Services Default Price-Quality Path Determination 2015 as amended by the Electricity Distribution Services Default Price-Quality Path (Compliance Statement Due Date and Auditor's Report) Amendments Determination 2020, issued by the Commerce Commission NZ on 9 April 2020 (the 'Determination as amended').

Opinion

In our opinion:

- as far as appears from an examination, the information used in the preparation of the Annual Compliance Statement has been properly extracted from the company's accounting and other records, and has been sourced, where appropriate, from its financial and non-financial systems; and
- The Annual Compliance Statement of the company for the year ended on 31 March 2020, has been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Determination as amended.

In forming our opinion, we have obtained sufficient recorded evidence and all the information and explanations we have required.

Basis of opinion

We conducted our engagement in accordance with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements (New Zealand) 3000 (Revised): *Assurance Engagements Other Than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information* and the Standard on Assurance Engagements 3100: *Compliance Engagements* issued by the External Reporting Board. Copies of these standards are available on the External Reporting Board's website.

These standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform our assurance engagement to provide reasonable assurance about whether the Annual Compliance Statement has been prepared in all material respects in accordance with the Determination as amended.

We have performed procedures to obtain evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the Annual Compliance Statement. The procedures selected depend on our judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Annual Compliance Statement, whether due to fraud or error or non-compliance with the Determination as amended. In making those risk assessments, we considered internal control relevant to the company's preparation of the Annual Compliance Statement in order to design procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control.

In assessing the disclosures about compliance with the price path in clause 8 of the Determination as amended for the assessment period ended on 31 March 2020, our assurance engagement included examination, on a test basis, of evidence relevant to the amounts and disclosures contained on pages 2, 4 and 8 to 13 of the Annual Compliance Statement.

In assessing the disclosures about compliance with the quality standards in clause 9 of the Determination as amended for the assessment period ended on 31 March 2020, our assurance engagement included examination, on a test basis, of evidence relevant to the amounts and disclosures contained on pages 3, 4 and 14 to 24 of the Annual Compliance Statement.

Our assurance engagement also included assessment of the significant estimates and judgements, if any, made by the company in the preparation of the Annual Compliance Statement. We believe that the evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Scope and inherent limitations

Because of the inherent limitations of a reasonable assurance engagement, and the test basis of the procedures performed, it is possible that fraud, error or non-compliance may occur and not be detected.

We did not examine every transaction, adjustment or event underlying the Annual Compliance Statement nor do we guarantee complete accuracy of the Annual Compliance Statement. Also we did not evaluate the security and controls over the electronic publication of the Annual Compliance Statement.

The opinion expressed in this independent assurance report has been formed on the above basis.

Directors' responsibilities for the Annual Compliance Statement

The directors of the company are responsible for the preparation of the Annual Compliance Statement in accordance with the Determination as amended, and for such internal control as the directors determine is necessary to enable the preparation of an Annual Compliance Statement that is free from material misstatement.

Our responsibility for the Annual Compliance Statement

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on whether the Annual Compliance Statement has been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Determination as amended.

Independence and quality control

When carrying out the engagement, we complied with the Auditor-General's:

- Independence and other ethical requirements, which incorporate the independence and ethical requirements of Professional and Ethical Standard 1 (Revised) issued by the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board; and
- Quality control requirements, which incorporate the quality control requirements of Professional and Ethical Standard 3 (Amended) issued by the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

We also complied with the independent auditor requirements specified in the Determination as amended.

The Auditor-General, and his employees, and Deloitte Limited and its partners and employees may deal with the company and its subsidiaries on normal terms within the ordinary course of trading activities of the company. Other than any dealings on normal terms within the ordinary course of business, this engagement, the regulatory assurance engagement performed under the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 and the annual audit of the company's financial statements, we have no relationship with or interests in the company and its subsidiaries.

Use of this report

This independent assurance report has been prepared solely for the directors of the company and for the Commerce Commission for the purpose of providing those parties with reasonable assurance about whether the Annual Compliance Statement has been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Determination as amended. We disclaim any assumption of responsibility for any reliance on this report to any person other than the directors of the company or the Commerce Commission, or for any other purpose than that for which it was prepared.

lon

Brett Tomkins For Deloitte Limited On behalf of the Auditor-General Auckland, New Zealand 30 June 2020

Commerce Act (Electricity Distribution Default Price-Quality Path) Determination 2015

for the Assessment Date 31 March 2020

Clause 8.5

Notiona			
Term	Description	Value \$ 000's	
	Prices at 31 March 2020		Supported by P*Q
DP2020*Q2018	multiplied by 31 March 2018	48,690	schedules presented
	Base Quantities		in Appendix B
	Notional Posted Discount	(5.245)	
	YE2020	(3,243)	
NP2020	Notional Revenue for the year	12 //15	
111/2020	ending 31 March 2020	43,443	

Clause 8.4

Allowable Notional Revenue 2020										
Term	Description	Value \$ 000's								
DPt-1Qt-2	2019 Price* 2018 Qty	38,659								
(ANRt-1-NRt-1)	Difference in Notional revenue	5,250								
(1 + CPI2020)	Average change in Consumer Price Index	1.01527								
1-X	Annual rate of Change	1.07								
ANR2020	Allowable Notional Revenue under for the year ending 31 March 2020	47,700								

Appendix B – Price and Quantity Schedules (Clause1.3(a))

EP 1,2020+Q 1,1-2		Prices at 31 March	2020 multiplied by QT	Y31 March 2018										
						Line Tariff 1.4.2019	to 31.3.2020 year	Notional Distribu	ution Revenue (\$)	Notional Other	Notional Other	Total Distribution		Total Distribution
	Content and		Distribution Number of	Distribution kWb or kw	Other Oty for	Fixed	Variable (c/kwb)	Fixed	Variable	Eixed	Variable	Revenue	Total Discount	less Discount (\$)
Price Category	register code	Description	ICPs at 31/03/18	or kvarh for 31/03/18	31/03/18	- Act		· iacu	Variable	- Acco	• unable		(\$)	icas biscourit (s)
	, in the second se					Cents/Day Distribution	Distribution					ΣΠ1,2020 Θ1 2018		ΣΠ1,2020 Θι 2018
Low User (LR)														
LRF	0	LRF Daily Price	13,467			13.730		674,892				674,892	(583,367)	91,525
LUC	UN24	LRF Uncontrolled		8,743,141			22.420		1,960,212			1,960,212	(208,001)	1,752,211
LA	IN18	LRF All inclusive		50,189,602			17.310		8,687,820			8,687,820	(1,193,226)	7,494,594
LFC	CN20	LRF Controlled 20		183,413			7.190		13,187			13,187	-	13,187
LD	D16	LRF Day		996,063			20.750		206,683			206,683	(24,211)	182,472
LN	N8	LRF Night		372,324			7.530		28,036			28,036	-	28,036
														-
Low user TOU Uncontrolle	d											-		-
LUF		LUF Daily price on HHR	36.00			13.730		1,804				1,804	(1,774)	30
LU1	UN24	LUF Peak		46,980			30.830		14,484			14,484	(1,298)	13,186
LU2	UN24	LUF Shoulder		74,520			20.470		15,254			15,254	(2,058)	13,196
LU3	UN24	LUF Off peak		42,120			19.840		8,357			8,357	(1,163)	7,193
														-
												-	-	-
Low user TOU controlled												-		-
LCF		LCF Daily price on HHR	213			13.730		10,674				10,674	(10,496)	179
LC1	IN18	LCF Peak		277,965			24.150		67,129			67,129	(7,678)	59,451
LC2	1118	LCF shoulder		440,910			16.390		/2,265			72,265	(12,179)	60,086
LC3	1118	ССЕ ОП реак		249,210			13.550		33,768			33,768	(6,884)	26,884
												-		-
Standard User (SR)													-	-
SRF	0	SRF Daily Price	12,514		l	116.800		5,334,968		[5,334,968	(667,873)	4,667,095
SUC	UN24	SRF Uncontrolled		14,070,613			17.890		2,517,233			2,517,233	(269,296)	2,247,936
SA	IN18	SRF All inclusive		66,270,210			12.760		8,456,079			8,456,079	(1,266,936)	7,189,143
SFC	CN20	SRF Controlled 20]	516,320	I		5.560		28,707			28,707	-	28,707
SD	D16	SRF Day		3,185,640	L		15.840		504,605			504,605	(62,164)	442,442
SN	N8	SRF Night		1,271,478			6.340		80,612			80,612	-	80,612
Standard user TOU Uncont	trolled											-		-
SUF		SUF Daily price on HHR	42.0000			116.800		17,905				17,905	(2,070)	15,836
SU1	UN24	SUF Peak		77,952			26.030		20,291			20,291	(1,514)	18,777
SU2	UN24	SUF Shoulder		123,648			17.050		21,082			21,082	(2,401)	18,681
SU3	UN24	SUF Off peak		69,888			15.830		11,063			11,063	(1,357)	9,706
												-		-
Standard user TOU Uncont	trolled											-		-
SCF		SCF Daily price on HHR	209.0000			116.800		89,101				89,101	(10,298)	78,802
SC1	IN18	SCF Peak		387,904			18.710		72,577			72,577	(7,534)	65,043
SC2	IN18	SCF Shoulder		615,296			11.850		72,913			72,913	(11,950)	60,962
SC3	IN18	SCF Off peak		347,776			9.690		33,699			33,699	(6,754)	26,945
												-		-
General User (G)												-	-	-
GF	0	GRF Daily Price	5,058			116.800		2,156,327				2,156,327	(233,416)	1,922,911
GUC	UN24	GRF Uncontrolled		51,328,911			17.890		9,182,742			9,182,742	(428,919)	8,753,823
GA	IN18	GRF All inclusive		4,841,993			12.760		617,838			617,838	(37,201)	580,637
GFC	CN20	GRF Controlled 20		3,883,636			5.560		215,930			215,930	-	215,930
GD	D16	GRF Day		8,426,899			15.840		1,334,821			1,334,821	(64,744)	1,270,077
GN	N8	GRF Night		3,639,351			6.340		230,735			230,735	-	230,735
		[-	-	-
General Advanced User (G	A)											-	-	-
GAF	TOU or SM	GE Daily price on HHR	104			824.330		312,916				312.916	(20.075)	292.841
G1	See Note 1.6	GPE Peak		2 959 405			15 500		459 709			459 709	(11 669)	447 439
<u>.</u>	Soo Note 1.0	CDE Shoulds		2,003,405			10.500						(11,503)	
G2	See Note 1.6	GRF Shoulder		6,163,641			10.540		651,745			651,745	(22,039)	629,206
33	see Note 1.6	GRI OII peak		2,9/1,254	l	+	6.340	-	188,378		+	188,378	-	188,378
DG				4 395 500										-
		1		1,365,560		+								-
Larger User (TOII)			1			1	L						-	-
TOU		TOU Daily price on HHP	54	t	t	2.345 540	Γ	462,306	-		1	462 306	(12,246)	450.060
TOUI		Peak		8 496 493			11 000		941 444			941 444	(9.869)	934 542
TOU2		Chauldes	1	49 300 700		1	7,500	-	4 276 005	l		4 270 005	(0,009)	4 255 755
1002		shoulder		18,266,789			7.530	-	1,376,995			1,376,995	(21,240)	1,355,755
1003		UTT peak		9,445,787			0.650	-	61,398			61,398	-	61,398
								-	-				-	-
Industrial													-	-
0000984310TEBBE		1	2	L	l	1,660.53		606,093	-			606,093	(13,914)	592,180
0000930130TE465			0									-	-	-
0000984000TE210			1			808.56		295,125	-			295,125	(6,957)	288,168
		I		I		1						-	-	-
		1										1		
Non standard	LDG		1			175.6200	-	64,101	-			64,101	-	64,101
Street Lights		1	1			1					1	-		-
UMCON500			1		172	48 4000				30 500	•	30 503		30 563
UMDECI			1		30	50 1000		-	-			50,56Z	-	50,56Z
UMGI		t	1	t	62	16 79		-	-	3,400	1	3 800	-	3,400
UMINT			t		8	26 79				783		782	1	782
UMLDH		t	1		36	100.31		-	-	13.18		13.181	-	13.181
UMLSH					1,729	50.10		-	-	316.174		316.174	-	316.174
UMLSHLPMC		1			464	61.80		-	-	104.664	•	104-664	-	104.664
UMLTH			1		4	150.28		-	-	2,194	•	2,194	-	2,194
NIL			1	I	57	I								
		I				T		I				1	I	
$\Sigma P_{i,2020}Q_i$			31,701	270,402,596	2,563			10,026,213	38,186,758	476.843	-	48,689.814	(5,245,173)	43,444,641

Note: The maximum NR (2020) prices and quantities are the same as NR (2020)

$\Sigma P_{i,2019} \cdot Q_{i,t-2}$		Prices at 31 March	n 2019 multiplied b	by 31 March 2018	Base Quant	tities							
Price Category Code	Register and content	Description	Number of ICPs	kWh or kw or	Other Qty for	Line Tariff 1.4.201	6 tL 31.3.2019 year	Notional Distribution	n Revenue (\$)	Notional Other	Total Distribution		Total Distribution
	code		at 31/03/18	kvarh for 31/03/18	31/03/18					Revenue(\$)	Revenue (\$)		Revenue (\$) Notional
						Fixed	Variable	Fixed	Variable	Fixed		Total Discount	less Discount
						\$/Day Distribution	Distribution c/kWh				SP 1,2017 Q i 2016	(\$)	ΣΠ1,2020 Θι 2018
Low User (LR)													
LRF	0	LRF Daily Price	13,467			0.13730000		674,892			674,892	(583,367)	91,525
LUC	UN24	LRF Uncontrolled		8,743,141			20.000		1,748,628		1,748,628	(208,001)	1,540,627
LA	IN18	LRF All inclusive		50,189,602			15.350		7,704,104		7,704,104	(1,193,226)	6,510,878
LFC	CN20	LRF Controlled 20		183,413			6.360		11,665		11,665	-	11,665
LD	D16	LRF Day		996,063			17.750		176,801		176,801	(24,211)	152,590
LN	N8	LRF Night		372,324			3.860		14,372		14,372	-	14,372
											-	-	-
Low user 100 Uncontr	olled	LUE Dailty aging an HHD	20			0 42720000		4 904			-	-	-
	11N24	LUE Beak	36	46 980		0.13730000	20.000	1,004	0 306		9 396	(1,774)	8 008
1 112	UN24	LUF Shoulder		74,520			20.000		14,904		14.904	(2,058)	12.846
LU3	UN24	LUF Off peak		42,120			20.000		8,424		8,424	(1,163)	7,261
											-	-	-
Low user TOU control	ed										-	-	_
LCF	0	LCF Daily price on HHR	213			0.13730000		10,674			10,674	(10,496)	179
LC1	IN18	LCF Peak		277,965			15.350		42,668		42,668	(7,678)	34,990
LC2	IN18	LCF Shoulder		440,910			15.350		67,680		67,680	(12,179)	55,501
LC3	IN18	ЕСЕ ОП реак		249,210			15.350		38,254		38,254	(6,884)	31,370
Standard licer (SD)											-	-	-
CDE		SDE Daily Drine	43.511			0.000		4 440 610			-	-	-
экг		SKF Dally Price	12,514			0.968		4,419,619			4,419,619	(667,873)	3,751,746
SUC		SKF Uncontrolled		14,070,613			16.590		2,334,315		2,334,315	(269,296)	2,065,018
SEC		SRF All Inclusive		66,270,210 516,220			11.950		7,919,290		7,919,290	(1,266,936)	6,652,354
SD	D16	SRF Day		3 185 640			5.120 13 370		425 920		20,430 425,920	(62 164)	20,430
SN	N8	SRF Night		1.271.478			3,230		41.069		41.069	(52,104)	41.069
											-	-	-
Standard user TOU Und	controlled										-	-	-
SUF		SUF Daily price on HHR	42			0.968		14,833			14,833	(2,070)	12,764
SU1	UN24	SUF Peak		77,952			16.590		12,932		12,932	(1,514)	11,418
SU2	UN24	SUF Shoulder		123,648			16.590		20,513		20,513	(2,401)	18,112
SU3	UN24	SUF Off peak		69,888			16.590		11,594		11,594	(1,357)	10,237
Standard up or TOIL Up a	controlled										-	-	
SCF	controlled	SCE Daily price on HHP	209			830.0		73 813			- 73 813	(10.298)	63.515
SC1	IN18	SCF Peak	203	387,904		0.000	11.950	73,013	46.355		46.355	(7,534)	38.821
SC2	IN18	SCF Shoulder		615,296			11.950		73,528		73,528	(11,950)	61,578
SC3	IN18	SCF Off peak		347,776			11.950		41,559		41,559	(6,754)	34,805
											-	-	_
General User (G)											-	-	-
GF		GRF Daily Price	5,058			0.968		1,786,354	-		1,786,354	(233,416)	1,552,938
GUC		GRF Uncontrolled		51,328,911			16.590		8,515,466		8,515,466	(428,919)	8,086,547
GA		GRF All inclusive		4,841,993			11.950		578,618		578,618	(37,201)	541,417
GFC		GRF Controlled 20		3,883,636			5.120		198,842		198,842	-	198,842
GD	D16	GRF Day		8,426,899			13.370		1,126,676		1,126,676	(64,744)	1,061,933
GN	N8	GRF Night		3,639,351			3.230		117,551		117,551	-	117,551
Conoral Advanced lies											-	-	
GAF	CAP150	Closed	27			7.563		74,530	-		74.530	- (20.075)	- 54.455
G1				842.028			16.150		135,988		135,988	(11,569)	124,418
G2				1,948,787			10.980		213,977		213,977	(22,539)	191,437
G3				853,877			3.230		27,580		27,580	-	27,580
											-	-	-
DG				1,385,560							-	-	
Time of lloc						24 540					-	-	-
Time of use			04			21.519		424,134			424,134	- 12,240	411,000
TOU1		t	t	8,496.493			9,990	-	848,800		848.800	- 21.240	827.560
TOU2				18,286,789			6.790	-	1,241,673		1,241,673	-	1,241,673
тоиз				9,445,787			0.590	-	55,730		55,730	-	55,730
											-	-	-
Industrial											-	-	-
0000984310TEBBE			2			1,746.6000		637,509	-		637,509	- 13,914	623,595
0000930130TE465			0								-	-	-
00009840000TE210			1			1,000.0000		365,000	-		365,000	- 6,957	358,043
Non Standard (25M840	LDC		-			475 0300					-	-	-
Non standard (25WW)	LDG		U			175.0200		-	-		-	-	-
Street Lighte											-		-
UMCONF00						0.4700				27.040	-	-	-
UMDECI					1/3	0.4420			-	21,910	21,910 E 040	-	21,910
UMGL					63 62	0.4575			-	3,469	3,469	-	3,469
UMINT						0.2447			-	715	715	-	715
UMLDH			1		36	0.9161			-	12,038	12,038	-	12,038
UMLSH					1,729	0.4575			-	288,721	288,721	-	288,721
UMLSHLPMC					464	0.5644			-	95,587	95,587	-	95,587
UMLTH					4	1.3724			-	2,004	2,004	-	2,004
NIL					57						-	-	-
FB 0											-	-	-
2 P i,2013 Q i			31,700	270,402,596	2,563			8,711,171	34,757,545	435,453	43,904,169	- 5,245,173	38,658,996

Clause 11.4(e): The pricing methodology used to calculate distribution prices and pass-through prices is in accordance with the Pricing Methodology Disclosure 2019-2020 and is shown below. The full Pricing Methodology is available on the Top Energy Website; <u>http://topenergy.co.nz/network/network-disclosures/</u>

The figure below summarises the allocators used to allocate target revenue and the rationale for these decisions.

Cost Category	Allocator used	Rationale
Transmission costs	Interconnection charges and ACOT - DG: Coincident share of RCPD (kW) for industrial consumers and Anytime Maximum Demand (AMD) for other connections	Allocation of interconnection charges aligns with Transpower's use of RCPD to apportion charges at a national level.
	<i>Connection charges and ACOT - Transmission:</i> Share of AMD	Connection charges represent investment in GXP capacity. AMD broadly represents usage of this capacity.
Network Costs	Customer group demand on the system as a percentage of ORC	Spreads maintenance cost in portion to demand, weighted by the replacement cost of assets (recognising higher maintenance is usually attributed to higher cost assets).
Non-Network Costs	Regulatory Asset Base (RAB)	Spreads costs that are relatively static with the size of a customer.
Depreciation	IND: Demand (kW) General Advanced: RAB Residential/General/UM: kWh volume	Allocation based on utilisation of asset utilisation, which broadly corresponds with depreciation representing use of capital.
Pre-tax ROI	RAB	Allocates return in proportion to value of assets ODV/RAB, consistent with regulatory framework.

Commerce Act (Electricity Distribution Default Price-Quality Path) Determination 2015 Pass Through and Recoverable Costs for the Assessment Date 31 March 2020

Pass Through and P	Recoverable Costs fo	or year ending Marc	h 2020	
V 2020	2020	2020 Forecast	Variance (\$)	Variance (%)
Transpower	5,328,932	5,328,932	-	-
Avoided Transmission Ngawha	2,752,881	2,752,881	-	-
Avoided Transmission Transpower	-	-	-	-
Energy Efficiency Incentive	-	-	-	-
Quality Incentive Adjustment	1,653	1,737	(84)	(5.07)%
Clawback	1,968,000	1,968,000	-	-
NPV Washup Allowance	733,000	733,000	-	-
Opex Incentive	-	-	-	-
Capex Incentive	25,699	25,699	-	-
IRIS (Balance from previous years difference)				
Total V	10,810,165	10,810,249	(84)	
К 2020	Actual (\$)	Forecast (\$)	Variance (\$)	Variance (%)
Rates	50,970	49,462	1,508	2.96%
Electricity Authority Levies	72,895	75,193	(2,298)	(3.15)%
Complaints Levy	25,169	22,355	2,815	11.18%
Commerce Act Levies	124,272	116,480	7,792	6.27%
Total K	273,306	263,489	9,817	3.59%
Total Pass Through and Recoverable Costs	11,083,471	11,073,738	9,733	.09%

Commerce Act (Electricity Distribution Default Price-Quality Path) Pass Through Balance for the Assessment Date 31 March 2020

Pass Through and Recoverable Costs for year ending March 2020						
V 2020	2020					
PTPi2020 Qi2020	11,118,968					
Actual K 2020	273,306					
Actual V 2020	10,810,165					
Total K+V (passthrough)	11,083,471					
PTBt2019	(190,550)					
PTBt2019 Interest	(11,604)					
PTB 2020	(237,651)					

Pass Through and Recoverable Costs for year ending March 2020 with Variances to Pricing forecast											
V 2020	Actual\$	Forecast \$	Variance to forecast	Explanation							
PTPi2020 Qi2020	11,118,968	11,140,480	(21,512)	Residential Standard kWh decreased and Low User kWh increased, with an overall decrease of 1.4%. The forecast was 279GWh and actual was 275GWh. The decrease in recovery was \$ 21.5k							
Actual K 2020	273,306	263,489	9,817	Mainly due to an increase in Commerce Levies \$8k							
Actual V 2020	10,810,165	10,810,249	(84)	Quality Incentive update							
Total K+V (passthrough)	11,083,471	11,146,676	(63,205)	Net decrease in PTB costs to be recovered is \$265k							
PTBt2019	(190,550)	68,751	(259,301)	The 2019 over recovery was more than expected. See kWh							
Interest 2019 PBT	(11,604)	4,187	(15,791)	PBT interest at 6.09 included							
PTB 2020	(237,651)	6.196	(243,847)	Net Increase in Over Recovery to forecast is \$243.8k due to 2019 being a higher recovery than forecast							

Appendix E – Quality Standard Compliance Calculations

Event Days exceeding Boundary Values

Quality Standards for Top Energy Limited Regulatory Period 1 April 2015 – 31 March 2020 Schedule 4A										
Assessment P	eriod L	imits.								
SAIDI	•	SAIFI	x							
516.675		6.248								
Class C Unpla	Class C Unplanned Outage Boundary Values									
SAIDI	-	SAIFI								
29.364		0.347								

Any Daily Value for Class C Interruptions greater than the Unplanned Boundary Value equals the Unplanned Boundary Value:

Event	t Days e	xceed	ing SAIDI and SAI	IFI Boundary Vo	lues			
	Date	ΨÎ	SAIDI_C 🔽	SAIFI_C 🔽	SAIDI_C_NOF	SAIFI_C_NOF	CAUSE	T





Annual Reliab	ility	Assessments		
FYE	-	SAIDI 🔻	SAIFI	-
2014		464.909	5.486	
2015		599.923	6.349	
2016		461.799	5.639	
2017		400.912	4.823	
2018		483.341	4.949	
2019		351.586	3.579	
2020		365.620	4.463	

Appendix F – Quality Incentive Calculations

Quality Incentive Adjustment		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Term	Description	Value \$000				
S _{SAIDI}	SAIDI incentive	-55.506	72.809	-100.905	171.155	147.185
S _{SAIFI}	SAIFI incentive	-42.815	129.120	102.558	171.155	171.155
S TOTAL	SAIDI incentive plus SAIFI incentive	-98.322	201.929	1.653	342.310	318.340

SAIDI Incentive		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Term	Description	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value
SAIDI Target	SAIDI target specified in DPP Determination	435.4607	435.4607	435.4607	435.4607	435.4607
SAIDI Collar	SAIDI incentive range collar specified in DPP Determination	354.2460	354.2460	354.2460	354.2460	354.2460
SAIDI Cap	SAIDI incentive range cap specified in DPP Determination	516.6753	516.6753	516.6753	516.6753	516.6753
MAR	Maximum allowable revenue for the 2015/16 year (in \$000)	34,231	34,231	34,231	34,231	34,231
REV _{RISK}	Revenue at risk; 1% of MAR (\$000)	342.310	342.310	342.310	342.310	342.310
SAIDI IR	SAIDI incentive rate per unit; equal to 50% of revenue at risk divided by Cap minus Target (\$000)	2.107	2.107	2.107	2.107	2.107
SAIDI ASSESS	Assessed SAIDI value for the Assessment Period	461.799	400.9123	483.3410	351.5860	365.6199
SAIDI ASSESS - FOR INCENTIVE	Assessed SAIDI value for purpose of incentive	461.799	400.9123	483.3410	354.2460	365.6199
S _{SAIDI}	SAIDI incentive adjustment; equal to incentive rate multiplied by SAIDI target minus Assessed SAIDI value (\$000)	-55.506	72.809	-100.905	171.155	147.185

SAIFI Incentive		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Term	Description	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value
SAIFI Target	SAIFI target specified in DPP Determination	5.4359	5.4359	5.4359	5.4359	5.4359
SAIFI Collar	SAIFI incentive range collar specified in DPP Determination	4.6240	4.6240	4.6240	4.6240	4.6240
SAIFI Cap	SAIFI incentive range cap specified in DPP Determination	6.2478	6.2478	6.2478	6.2478	6.2478
MAR	Maximum allowable revenue for the 2015/16 year (in \$000)	34,231.000	34,231.000	34,231.000	34,231.000	34,231.00
REV _{RISK}	Revenue at risk; 1% of MAR (\$000)	342.310	342.310	342.310	342.310	342.31
SAIFI _{IR}	SAIFI incentive rate per unit; equal to 50% of revenue at risk divided by Cap minus Target (\$000)	210.808	210.808	210.808	210.808	210.80
SAIFI _{ASSESS}	Assessed SAIFI value for the Assessment Period	5.6390	4.8234	4.9494	3.5790	4.4633
SAIFI ASSESS FOR INCENTIVE	Assessed SAIFI value for purpose of incentive	5.6390	4.8234	4.9494	4.6240	4.6240
S _{SAIFI}	SAIFI incentive adjustment; equal to incentive rate multiplied by SAIFI target minus Assessed SAIFI value (\$000)	-42.815	129.120	102.558	171.155	171.15

Appendix G – Policies and Procedures for Recording SAIDI and SAIFI

Top Energy Limited records data for network performance from its network Control Centre. The following flow diagram outlines the process that manages the recording and production of quality performance statistics.



Top Energy Faults Management Process

1. PLANNED OUTAGES

Planned outages are maintained by the Control Centre. They;

- 1. schedule the work with the Field Staff,
- 2. conduct and coordinate the switching on the network. These details are recorded by action, date and time on 'Switching Procedure Sheet' following a predetermined switching plan.

2. UNPLANNED OUTAGES

Unplanned outages are initiated either by a fault call received by our contracted Call Centre (PHONEplus) or by receiving a direct protection equipment alarm generated directly out of the SCADA system. A call detail record is entered into the Call Centre's call management system (CMS), this is completed by the Call Centre operators who identify key information about the interruption, such as: time, fault description, name and contact details of the caller.

Subsequently the Control Centre/Faults Dispatch team will dispatch a Fault Man directly or via the contractor's Faults Supervisor, log the fault, and enter the relevant details in the log. As part of managing the restoration of supply, the Control Centre Operator records the devices that are operated and the times they are operated on the 'Switching Procedure Sheet'. All HV and EHV faults are additionally recorded electronically via the SCADA system which provides an accurate record of the operation, time and date factors of the outage.

The data generated by the SCADA alarm only records faults on a feeder and the time that the circuit tripped. The event logs are not a complete switching record, as they do not provide evidence of the time that consumers down the feeder were restored.

The reason that no automatic record is created in SCADA for minor faults is that the alarms are placed on the first circuit breaker or reclosers on the feeder. The circuit breakers are designed so they do not trip needlessly with every small fault further down the feeder, meaning that there will only be alarms created for events exceeding momentary supply interruptions.

Therefore, the sources of recorded information from individual events are from three sources;

- (a) Call detail sheet from the call management system (CMS) which is logged by the Call Centre
- (b) Switching procedure sheets
- (c) Computer generated records from the SCADA System.

Once the outage is completed and all power is restored, the information gathered from the call detail sheet, switching procedure sheet, SCADA records and any other relevant information to form a network performance pack.

3. NETWORK PERFORMANCE PACK

The network performance pack is assembled to provide verified event data, to ensure accurate data entry into the GIS Incident Application. The time the customers are without power, number of customers affected is calculated by the GIS Incidents application. The control centre operators also allocate each fault a cause code so that they can be categorised for disclosure purposes.

4. GIS INCIDENT APPLICATION

Top Energy Limited has been using its fully upgraded GIS Incident Application since 1 April 2009. Top Energy Limited is recording network interruptions and generating the Network Performance Indexes, such as SAIDI and SAIFI, using this GIS Incident program. On a monthly basis, the database is reviewed for reasonableness by the Control Centre Manager. After the data is reviewed, network quality graphs and a summary monthly report of reliability statistics form part of the General Manager Network's report to the Board of Directors. On a six-monthly basis, the statistics are summarised and reported as part of the Company's Financial Report, with comparison against targets set out in the Company's Statement of Corporate Intent.

The GIS incidents system calculates customer outage minutes from the network outage data entered into the system.

The system calculates the customer outage minutes for each individual operation, by recording the time stamped operation of each switchable device and counting the number of ICP's connected beyond the device. A report is then generated from the data where the SAIDI and SAIFI are stated. For disclosure the averaged ICP count is used.

The equation used by GIS Incidents to calculate customer minutes Σ (Outage Duration₁ x ICP Count₁) + (Outage Duration₂ x ICP Count₂) +.... (and so on for each outage duration)

Each GIS Incident that is inputted is reviewed and checked by the Control Centre Manager. Each month's results are checked for reasonableness, thus equates to 12 checks each year end.

A report is generated from the Report Manager, which shows the SAIDI and SAIFI calculations for the period.

For all outages the GIS Incident Application calculates the number of affected customers. The ICPs affected are automatically populated from the GIS system. With a fully integrated GIS & ICP database of our network, Top Energy uses its GIS system to report the number of customers beyond every isolation device on the network. The customer count is extracted from the GIS system, which is linked to the ICP database.

For the assessment period ending 31 March 2020, Top Energy had been using the accurate customer count as at 31 March 2019. To determine the total number of consumers on our network, Top Energy maintains an ICP database (Club ICP) which is based on the industry-maintained Registry equivalent. The ICP database has been maintained consistently in compliance with relevant Rules and Regulations. The result is used for internal reporting and performance management throughout the year. For disclosure purposes the average of the Total ICP counts at 31 March year start and 31 March year end.

The Customer count data is taken from the Electricity Registry.

The average ICP count for 2020 was calculated as the sum of the 31 March 2019 + 31 March 2020 ICP counts divided by 2.

To ensure the accuracy of ICPs in Geographical Information System (GIS) an automatic trace is set to run on a daily basis. The trace runs through the connected model and gathers total ICPs per feeder. The trace results are compared against the previous days trace and outputted into a report showing the difference between the two traces, categorized by feeder. The report is e-mailed to the GIS Manager each morning and reviewed. If there is a significant ICP difference the connectivity of the feeder is further investigated in GIS, and when remedied the trace is rerun manually.

In addition, a weekly trace is run to ensure number of ICP's in Club ICP database matches number of ICPs connected in GIS by the GIS Administrator. The report outputs total number of ICPs in Club ICP application and the total number of ICPs in GIS, the difference between the two databases categorised by feeders. The report also lists ICP numbers which are not placed in GIS. This report is reviewed and rectified by GIS Technician as appropriate.

5. PHONED IN CUSTOMER OUTAGE ADVICE



6. SCADA GENERATED OUTAGE ADVICE



7. INCIDENTS PROCESS





8. PROCESS FOR SUPPLYING OUTAGE DATA FOR AUDITOR

Top Energy Network Operations will receive a request in the following March of each year to provide a spreadsheet of Top Energy outage events. The Auditor will specify a selection of outage events for compliance audit. Once the audit selection process has been confirmed, Top Energy will package the relevant outage information and hold on site ready for the audit.

